

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/506,632	06/30/2005	Andrew J.S. Dawood	21547-00298-US1	3407	
30678 7:	590 10/13/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CONNOLLY	BOVE LODGE & HUT.	BUMGARNE	BUMGARNER, MELBA N		
P.O. BOX 2201 WILMINGTON	7 N, DE 19899-2207		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WIENINGTO	, BB 19099 BB 01		3732		
		DATE MAIL ED. 10/12/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	cation No. Applicant(s)				
		10/506,632	DAWOOD, ANDREW J.S.				
		Examiner	Art Unit	·			
		Melba Bumgarner	3732				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -	•			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Se	eptember 2006					
		action is non-final.					
·							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 12-15</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2,12 and 15</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-9,13 and 14</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
. • / 🗀	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	ammor. Note the attached office	7.00.011 01 101111 1 1 0 - 1 0 2	•			
	•	majority under 25 H C O C 440/a	\				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a)		a bassa basas sasas terat					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents		•				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage				
+ 6	application from the International Bureau						
1 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	∌d.				
Attachmen							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
 ∠)	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F					
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/7/04</u> .	6) Other:	acont rippinoution				
S Patent and T	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

Application/Control Number: 10/506,632 Page 2

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1, 3-9, 13, and 14 in the reply filed on September 14. 2006 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claims 2, 12, and 15 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 1 and 3 are objected to because of the following informalities: use of capitalized Part in claim 1 and article in "an internally threaded components" in claim 3. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 3-6, 9, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claims 3 and 4, it is unclear whether externally threaded part(s) is in addition to 1/ and 2/ parts. It is unclear which part is limited in "said part" of claim 4. In claim 9, it is unclear what is meant by "a metric machine". Recitation of "said transport

Art Unit: 3732

segment" in claim 5, "the gingival cuff" in claim 6, "the gingival" in claim 9, and phrases throughout claims 13 and 14 lack sufficient antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

6. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

> Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

7. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to nonstatutory subject matter. The claims appear to recite part of the human body in combination with the structure of the claimed invention, "one which is inserted into a prepared site into the jaw bone". It has been held that a claim directed to or including within its scope, a human being will not be considered to be patentable subject matter under 35 USC 101. The grant of limited, but exclusive property right in a human being is prohibited by the Constitution. In re Wakefield, 422 F.2d 897, 164 USPQ 636 (CCPA 1970). Applicant needs to clearly state using inferential language that the human anatomy is not claimed. For examination purposes, the claims will be considered as if such limitations involving the combination with a human were not present.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/506,632

Art Unit: 3732

632 Page 4

9. Claims 1 and 3-9 are rejected as understood, under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tramonte (2002/0081553). Tramonte discloses a fixture having external threading, comprising a threaded part 2 for insertion into a prepared site in the jaw bone and a threaded part 3 to which pressure may be applied by means of an internally threaded element 4. The threaded parts are externally threaded. As understood, a part has a flattened aspect 5. The fixture has a smooth, non-threaded, alignment section at its apex 9. The fixture is provided with a polished section 5, the collar is inferentially claimed; however, a non round cross-section is shown. Patentable weight is not given to the intended use of the fixture; however, Tramonte shows the fixture is designed for connection to prostheses. Tramonte shows an aperture 8 within its body, patentable weight is not given to its intended use and the aperture is capable of having a wire pass through. The fixture has a tapered body 6, deeply biting threads 2b, and a part threaded on the threaded part 3.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected as understood, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tramonte in view of Hall (2003/0158554). Tramonte discloses a fixture that shows the limitations as described above; however, Tramonte does not show the fixture having surface treatment. Hall teaches a fixture having surface treatment of a roughening process and portions of rougher surface and relatively smooth. It would have been obvious to one having

Application/Control Number: 10/506,632

Art Unit: 3732

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the fixture of Tramonte to

Page 5

have the surface treatment of Hall in order to apply different textured surfaces to different types

of bone encountered in dental situations in view of Hall.

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Melba Bumgarner whose telephone number is 571-272-4709.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached at 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ngelsa Bungainer Melba Bumgarner

Primary Examiner